## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION - CINCINNATI

OATLY AB, et al., : Case No. 1:17-cv-840

Plaintiffs, : Judge Matthew W. McFarland

:

v.

.

D'S NATURALS LLC,

.

Defendant.

.

## ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS OATLY AB AND OATLY INC.'S MOTION TO PERMANENTLY SEAL DOCUMENTS (DOC. 109)

This case is before the Court on Plaintiffs Oatly AB and Oatly Inc.'s unopposed Motion to Permanently Seal Documents (Doc. 109). The Court finds that Plaintiffs have shown compelling reasons justifying the permanent seal of select information in the Court's docket. Namely, the sealed information contains competitively sensitive information, including confidential product research and development and future business plans. Importantly, Plaintiffs have narrowly tailored the scope of the seal to protect only the competitively sensitive information at issue. From the pleadings and redacted versions of the briefs filed in the public docket, the public can discern the nature of the parties' dispute and will ultimately be able to understand the reasoning underlying the Court's ruling on the parties' dispositive motions. Accordingly, the Court hereby **GRANTS** the motion and rules as follows:

1. The sealed versions of the parties' summary judgment briefing (Docs. 87, 88, 92, 92-1, 98, 98-1, 99, 99-1, 102 and 104) shall remain permanently under seal.

2. The following information in the parties' publicly filed summary judgment briefing (Doc. 91, 91-1, 94, 100, 101, 106, and 107) shall remain permanently redacted:

| ECF No.         | Document Description                             | Pages Containing Proposed Redactions        |
|-----------------|--|---|
| 88-1            | D's Naturals Statement of                        | PageID 1585 (¶¶ 13, 28)                     |
|                 | Facts  |   |
| 91              | Oatly's Motion for                               | PageID 1991, 1997                           |
|                 | Summary Judgment and                             |   |
| 01.1            | Memorandum in Support                            | DID 2000 2000 2011 (IIII 4 15               |
| 91-1            | Oatly's Statement of<br>Undisputed Material Fact | PageID 2008, 2009, 2011, (¶¶14, 15, 21, 30) |
| 94              | D's Naturals Redacted                            | PageID 2061–62, 2067-69, 2076               |
| ) <del>-1</del> | Memorandum in Support                            | 1 age1D 2001–02, 2007-09, 2070              |
|                 | of its Motion for                                |   |
|                 | Summary Judgment                                 |   |
| 98-1            | D's Naturals' Response                           | PageID 2196, 2197, 2198, 2199, 2200,        |
|                 | to Oatly Proposed                                | 2202, 2203 (¶¶ 14, 15, 16, 21, 27, 29e,     |
|                 | Statement of Undisputed                          | 30)   |
|                 | Facts and Proposed                               | PageID 2209 (¶¶ 1, 2)                       |
|                 | Disputed Issues of                               |   |
|                 | Material Fact (currently                         |   |
| 99-1            | entirely under seal)                             | Page ID 2227 2241 (CC12 22)                 |
| 99-1            | Oatly's Response to D's Naturals' Proposed       | PageID 2237, 2241 (¶¶13, 28)                |
|                 | Statement of Undisputed                          |   |
|                 | Facts and Proposed                               |   |
|                 | Disputed Issues of                               |   |
|                 | Material Fact (currently                         |   |
|                 | entirely under seal)                             |   |
| 100             | D's Naturals' Opposition                         | PageID 2250–2259                            |
|                 | to Oatly's Motion for                            |   |
| 10.5            | Summary Judgment                                 |   |
| 106             | D's Naturals' Reply in                           | PageID 2365, 2370–72, 2375, 2380            |
|                 | Support of its Motion for                        |   |
| 107             | Summary Judgment Oatly's Reply in Support        | PageID 2390, 2397–98                        |
| 107             | of its Motion for                                | 1 ageID 2390, 2391–90                       |
|                 | Summary Judgment                                 |   |
|                 | - million j o auginom                            |   |

3. Paragraph 7 of the Declaration of Mike Messersmith shall be permanently

redacted.

4. The documents supporting the parties' summary judgment briefing that

are temporarily sealed (Docs. 85-1, 90-1, 90-2, 90-3, 90-4, 90-5, 90-6, 90-7,

and 90-8) shall be permanently sealed.

To the extent necessary to conform the parties' summary judgment briefing to this

Order, Plaintiffs shall submit via email an updated version of any previously filed

document to McFarland\_Chambers@ohsd.uscourts.gov. After review, the Court will

file such document(s) in the public docket with a reference to the previously filed

document that it replaces.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

By:

JUDGE MATTHEW W. McFARLAND